
Trading Herd Immunity for Hotspots: A Comprehensive Review of Vaccine Hesitancy and Resurgent Bacterial Diseases

Chidiogo Marigold Iheukwumere ¹ and Ikechukwu Harmony Iheukwumere ²

1. Department of Applied Microbiology and Brewing, Faculty of Biosciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State

2. Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Natural Science, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Anambra State

E-Mail: cm.iheukwumere@unizik.edu.ng

"Received: February 27, 2026 / Accepted: March 12, 2026 / Published: June 5, 2026"

Abstract

Vaccine hesitancy, the delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccines despite availability, has been identified by the World Health Organization as one of the top ten global health threats. This comprehensive review synthesizes evidence from 140 studies (2000-2025) examining the causal relationship between vaccine hesitancy and the resurgence of vaccine preventable bacterial diseases, including pertussis (whooping cough), invasive pneumococcal disease, Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib), meningococcal disease, diphtheria, and tetanus. We analyze the epidemiology of resurgence in high income countries with high vaccine coverage but increasing exemption rates, the role of social media and organized anti-vaccine movements, the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on routine childhood immunization, and the documented outbreaks directly attributed to vaccine refusal. Pertussis resurgence in the US, UK, Australia, and Europe provides the strongest evidence: states and countries with declining pertussis vaccine coverage experienced outbreaks 3-10 times larger than those with stable coverage. Incomplete vaccination schedules, alternative schedules, and clustering of unvaccinated individuals drive outbreaks. Vaccine hesitancy disproportionately affects bacterial diseases with high population transmission (pertussis, pneumococcus, Hib) and those requiring booster doses. We recommend mandatory school vaccination policies without non-medical exemptions, healthcare provider communication training, rapid outbreak response protocols, and targeted community engagement to rebuild trust.

Keywords: Vaccine hesitancy; vaccine refusal; pertussis resurgence; pneumococcal disease; herd immunity; non-medical exemptions; vaccine preventable diseases

Iheukwumere, C. M. and Iheukwumere, I. H. (2026). Trading herd immunity for hotspots: A comprehensive review of vaccine hesitancy and resurgent bacterial diseases. *Academic Journal of Health Sciences* 2 (1):

1. Introduction

Vaccination is one of the most cost-effective public health interventions in history, averting an estimated 4-5 million deaths annually worldwide (WHO, 2024). Bacterial diseases that once killed and disabled millions, pertussis (whooping cough), diphtheria, tetanus, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib), invasive pneumococcal disease, and meningococcal disease, have been dramatically reduced through routine childhood immunization programs (CDC, 2023). However, these hard-won gains are increasingly threatened by vaccine hesitancy, defined by the WHO Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) as a "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services" (MacDonald, 2015). The WHO declared vaccine hesitancy one of the top ten global health threats in 2019, alongside pandemic influenza and antimicrobial resistance (WHO, 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated this threat, disrupting routine immunization in over 100 countries and amplifying online vaccine misinformation that extends beyond

COVID-19 vaccines to all childhood vaccines (Lazarus *et al.*, 2023; Omer *et al.*, 2024). The result is a troubling resurgence of vaccine preventable bacterial diseases in countries that had previously achieved near elimination.

The resurgence is not uniform across diseases or geographies. Pertussis (whooping cough) provides the most dramatic and best documented example. Despite highly effective acellular pertussis vaccines (80-90% efficacy in the first year after completion of the primary series, waning to 50-70% after 5-10 years), pertussis incidence has increased 10-20 fold in some high income countries over the past two decades (Cherry, 2022). While waning immunity and vaccine evolution (*Bordetella pertussis* antigenic drift) contribute, the primary driver of outbreaks is declining vaccine coverage due to parental vaccine refusal and non-medical exemptions (Phadke *et al.*, 2016). In the United States, states with permissive non-medical exemption policies have significantly higher pertussis incidence and experience larger, longer outbreaks than states with restrictive policies (Omer *et al.*,

2024). Similar patterns are observed for pneumococcal disease (resurgence of serotypes not covered by conjugate vaccines in undervaccinated communities) and Hib disease (outbreaks in unvaccinated clusters) (Jones *et al.*, 2023).

This comprehensive review aims to synthesize the evidence on the causal relationship between vaccine hesitancy and the resurgence of vaccine preventable bacterial diseases. We address eight key questions: (1) What is the epidemiology of vaccine hesitancy globally, and what are its drivers? (2) What is the evidence for pertussis resurgence attributable to vaccine refusal? (3) What is the evidence for resurgence of other bacterial diseases (pneumococcal, Hib, meningococcal, diphtheria)? (4) How does clustering of unvaccinated individuals drive outbreaks? (5) What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on routine immunization and vaccine confidence? (6) What role do social media and anti-vaccine movements play? (7) What policies effectively reduce vaccine hesitancy and increase coverage? (8) What are the priorities for research and

intervention? We argue that vaccine hesitancy is not a benign expression of parental autonomy but a direct cause of preventable morbidity, mortality, and healthcare system burden. The resurgence of vaccine preventable bacterial diseases is a policy choice, and it must be reversed.

2. General Review

2.1 Defining and Measuring Vaccine Hesitancy

Vaccine hesitancy is a complex, context-specific phenomenon that varies across time, place, and vaccine (MacDonald, 2015). It exists on a continuum from full acceptance to complete refusal, encompassing "hesitant acceptors" (delay vaccines, skip some doses, follow alternative schedules) and "refusers" (reject all or most vaccines). The WHO SAGE Vaccine Hesitancy Determinants Matrix identifies three domains: contextual influences (historical medical racism, religion, culture, politics, media environment), individual and group influences (personal experience with vaccine preventable disease, risk perception, trust in healthcare system), and vaccine/vaccination specific issues (perceived safety, efficacy, cost,

convenience) (MacDonald, 2015; Larson *et al.*, 2022).

Measuring vaccine hesitancy is challenging. Surveys (Parent Attitudes about Childhood Vaccines (PACV), Vaccine Hesitancy Scale (VHS)) capture attitudes but may not predict behavior. Behavioral outcomes (vaccine coverage, exemption rates, delay days) are more reliable but lag. Exemption rates in states with philosophical or religious exemptions are the most widely used measure in the US. Between 2009 and 2024, the proportion of US kindergarteners with non-medical exemptions increased from 1.0% to 3.2%, with rates exceeding 7% in Idaho, Utah, Arizona, Michigan, and Oregon (CDC, 2024; Omer *et al.*, 2024). Global variation: vaccine hesitancy is highest in high income countries (US, UK, France, Germany, Japan, Australia) and lowest in low income countries (where vaccine preventable diseases are still visible) (Larson *et al.*, 2022).

Drivers of hesitancy include: the fraudulent 1998 Wakefield study linking MMR vaccine to autism (retracted, but still cited online); online misinformation spread by organized anti-vaccine groups;

erosion of trust in government and healthcare institutions; concerns about vaccine ingredients (aluminum, formaldehyde, thimerosal, the latter removed from childhood vaccines in 2001 except multi-dose flu); perceived low risk of vaccine preventable diseases (diseases are rare due to vaccines, creating a "victim of success" paradox); and influential celebrity vaccine skeptics (Broniatowski *et al.*, 2025; Omer, 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic intensified all drivers, with conspiracy theories about vaccine microchips, infertility, and deaths crossing over to routine childhood vaccines (Lazarus *et al.*, 2023).

2.2 Pertussis Resurgence: The Strongest Evidence for Vaccine Hesitancy Impact

Pertussis (whooping cough) is the vaccine preventable bacterial disease with the strongest causal evidence linking vaccine hesitancy to resurgence. Before widespread vaccination (1940s), pertussis caused over 200,000 cases and 10,000 deaths annually in the US. By 1990, cases had declined to <5,000/year. Since the 2000s, pertussis incidence has increased dramatically, with peaks of

25,000- 50,000 cases/year (2010, 2012, 2014, 2024) (CDC, 2024; Cherry, 2022).

Waning immunity contributes: the acellular pertussis vaccine (DTaP) induces immunity that wanes faster than the whole cell vaccine (DTP), with vaccine effectiveness declining from 90% after the primary series to 50-70% after 5-10 years (Klein *et al.*, 2012; Scarpino, 2025). However, waning immunity alone does not explain geographic variation: states and counties with high non-medical exemption rates have significantly higher pertussis incidence independent of vaccine effectiveness (Phadke *et al.*, 2016). A seminal study across 18 US states found that states permitting philosophical exemptions had pertussis incidence 50% higher than states with no such exemptions; each 1% increase in exemption rate was associated with an 8% increase in pertussis incidence (Omer *et al.*, 2006).

Outbreak evidence: the 2010 California pertussis epidemic (9,000+ cases, 10 infant deaths) was concentrated in communities with high vaccine refusal rates, particularly Marin County and Humboldt County, where

exemption rates exceeded 10% (Winter *et al.*, 2012; Atwell *et al.*, 2013). Genomic analysis confirmed that unvaccinated children were the source and amplifiers of transmission. The 2012 Washington state epidemic (4,900 cases, 5.2% of young children unvaccinated in some counties) showed that geographic clustering of unvaccinated individuals, even at moderate coverage (80-90%), is sufficient to sustain pertussis transmission (Phadke *et al.*, 2016). The 2014 California epidemic again showed higher incidence in high exemption counties (Omer *et al.*, 2024). International evidence: the 2011-2012 UK pertussis epidemic (14,000 cases, 14 infant deaths) followed a decade of declining MMR uptake due to Wakefield fraud; DTaP coverage had also declined (HPA, 2012). Australia experienced large outbreaks in undervaccinated subpopulations (Biellik *et al.*, 2015). The Netherlands experienced sustained pertussis transmission in a religious community with low vaccine coverage (de Greeff *et al.*, 2014). Modeling studies confirm that vaccine refusal undermines herd immunity. Pertussis has a basic

reproduction number (R_0) of 12-17 (highly transmissible). Herd immunity threshold is 92-94% vaccine coverage. When coverage falls below 92%, pertussis can sustain endemic transmission (Cherry, 2022). In high exemption communities (vaccine coverage <70%), pertussis outbreaks are inevitable (Scarpino, 2025). The combination of waning immunity (which reduces effective coverage in adolescents and adults) and clustering of unvaccinated children (which creates pockets of susceptibility) explains the resurgence fully.

2.3 Resurgence of Other Vaccine Preventable Bacterial Diseases

2.3.1 Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib)

Before the conjugate vaccine (1990s), Hib was the leading cause of bacterial meningitis in children under 5, causing 20,000 US cases and 500 deaths annually. Vaccine introduction reduced cases by 99% (CDC, 2023). However, since 2000, sporadic Hib outbreaks have occurred in undervaccinated clusters: Minnesota (2008, 5 cases, 1 death; all unvaccinated children in the same homeschooling group), Pennsylvania (2009), and Alaska

(2023) (Jones *et al.*, 2023). Hib is extremely dangerous because of high case fatality (3-5% despite antibiotics, higher in low resource settings). Resurgence remains limited due to high overall coverage (90%+ in most countries) but clusters of refusal threaten elimination.

2.3.2 Pneumococcal disease

Streptococcus pneumoniae causes pneumonia, meningitis, bacteremia, and otitis media. The pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13, PCV15, PCV20) prevents invasive disease from vaccine serotypes. In undervaccinated communities, vaccine preventable serotypes persist longer and cause outbreaks. Washington state (2010-2012) reported an outbreak of serotype 19A (PCV7 covered but PCV13 covered) in a community with low PCV coverage (Chochua *et al.*, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic saw a resurgence of pneumococcal disease in undervaccinated populations in multiple countries (Tomczyk *et al.*, 2025).

2.3.3 Meningococcal disease

Neisseria meningitidis causes meningitis and septicemia with high case fatality (10-15%, 20-30% with permanent sequelae). Routine

adolescent vaccination (MenACWY, MenB) has reduced incidence dramatically. However, university outbreaks occur in undervaccinated populations. Princeton University (2013, 8 cases, no deaths) and University of Oregon (2015, 5 cases) outbreaks occurred among students who had not received MenB vaccine (McNamara *et al.*, 2015). Large outbreaks in sub-Saharan Africa (meningitis belt) are exacerbated by low vaccination coverage, though vaccine hesitancy is less of a driver there (due to high disease visibility) (Mustapha *et al.*, 2024).

2.3.4 Diphtheria

Diphtheria is extremely rare in high income countries due to DTaP vaccination. However, Russia and Ukraine experienced a massive diphtheria resurgence in the 1990s (>150,000 cases, 5,000 deaths) following collapse of the Soviet health system and vaccine coverage decline (58% to 50%) (Vitek and Wharton, 1998). More recently, diphtheria outbreaks in Venezuela (2016-2019, >2,000 cases) and Bangladesh (2017-2018, Rohingya refugee camps, 8,000+ suspected cases) were driven by health system collapse, not hesitancy per se, but

illustrate vulnerability when coverage falls (Ginn *et al.*, 2021). Diphtheria has a high case fatality (5-10% even with antitoxin) and is easily spread (respiratory droplets). The lesson: vaccine hesitancy that reduces coverage below herd immunity (85-90% for diphtheria) can trigger large, deadly outbreaks.

2.3.5 Tetanus

Tetanus is not transmissible person to person (caused by *Clostridium tetani* spores in soil), so herd immunity is irrelevant. However, maternal and neonatal tetanus (MNT) is a major cause of death in low income countries, eliminated in many but not all. Vaccine hesitancy contributes to MNT elimination failures in parts of India, Nigeria, and Indonesia (Yusuf *et al.*, 2023). Tetanus immunity in older adults (who may not receive boosters) is declining in some high income countries due to vaccine hesitancy across the lifespan (Talbot, 2024).

2.4 Clustering of Unvaccinated Individuals and Herd Immunity Collapse

One of the most important concepts in vaccine hesitancy epidemiology is the spatial clustering of unvaccinated individuals. Even when national vaccine coverage

remains high (90-95%), geographic pockets with coverage as low as 50-70% can sustain disease transmission and ignite outbreaks that spread to surrounding communities (Omer *et al.*, 2024). This clustering is not random: unvaccinated children tend to live in the same neighborhoods, attend the same schools, and interact socially (share playdates, birthday parties, sports, religious gatherings) (Atwell *et al.*, 2013). The effect on outbreak risk is non-linear: reducing coverage from 95% to 85% increases outbreak risk by 3-5 fold; reducing to 65% increases risk by 10-50 fold (Scarpino, 2025). California pertussis provided a natural experiment: in high exemption schools (exemption rates >10%), pertussis attack rates were 5-10 times higher than in low exemption schools (Atwell *et al.*, 2013). Vaccinated children attending high exemption schools had higher pertussis risk than unvaccinated children attending low exemption schools, demonstrating breakdown of herd immunity. Colorado and Oregon pertussis outbreaks similarly concentrated in communities with high exemption rates and Waldorf schools (private

schools with historically low vaccine coverage) (Bollinger *et al.*, 2022). New York measles outbreaks (2018-2019, >600 cases) occurred in Orthodox Jewish communities with low MMR coverage; the outbreak cost \$8.4 million in public health response and threatened measles elimination status (Zucker *et al.*, 2020). While measles is viral, the clustering principle applies equally to bacterial diseases.

Modeling shows that clustering amplifies outbreak size: a 5% absolute reduction in coverage, if distributed uniformly, increases outbreak size modestly; but if the same 5% reduction is concentrated in one neighborhood, outbreak size increases dramatically (Scarpino, 2025). Policy implications: school level and neighborhood level vaccine coverage data are needed to target interventions; policies that allow non-medical exemptions (particularly personal belief exemptions) enable clustering; removing non-medical exemptions reduces clustering and outbreak risk (Omer *et al.*, 2024).

2.5 Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Routine

Immunization and Vaccine Confidence

The COVID-19 pandemic caused the largest sustained disruption to routine immunization in a generation. WHO and UNICEF reported that 25 million children missed basic vaccines in 2021, the largest backlog in 20 years, with coverage falling to 81% for DTP3 (diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis) globally (WHO/UNICEF, 2024). Over 100 countries experienced declines in DTP3 coverage, with significant declines in India, Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, South Africa, and the Philippines (Omer *et al.*, 2024). Reasons: lockdowns and clinic closures; fear of COVID-19 infection at clinics; healthcare worker redeployment; supply chain disruptions; and vaccine hesitancy specific to childhood vaccines, exacerbated by COVID-19 misinformation (Lazarus *et al.*, 2023).

US declines: DTaP coverage fell from 95% to 93% (2019 to 2021); measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) from 91% to 87%; kindergarten exemption rates increased by 15-20% (CDC, 2024). The pandemic accelerated pre-existing trends: online anti-vaccine content

increased 300% during 2020-2021, focusing initially on COVID-19 vaccines but generalizing to childhood vaccines (proximity to COVID-19 vaccine appointments). A longitudinal study of 5,000 US parents found that COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy predicted subsequent childhood vaccine hesitancy (OR 4.2) (Omer *et al.*, 2024). Catch-up efforts are underway but incomplete; the 25 million zero-dose children (no vaccines at all) are at immediate risk of pertussis, diphtheria, Hib, and pneumococcal outbreaks (WHO/UNICEF, 2024).

Future risk: modeling studies predict that declines in routine immunization will lead to outbreaks of vaccine preventable bacterial diseases in 2025-2027, with pertussis and Hib most likely. The WHO has issued warnings; several countries (Philippines, Brazil, India) are already reporting increases in pertussis cases (WHO, 2025). The pandemic also damaged trust in health authorities: a global survey found that trust in government health agencies declined 20-30% between 2019 and 2024, with the steepest declines in the US and Europe (Lazarus *et al.*, 2025).

Rebuilding trust is essential for restoring vaccine coverage.

2.6 Social Media, Anti-Vaccine Movements, and Misinformation

Organized anti-vaccine movements have exploited social media to spread misinformation, erode vaccine confidence, and drive vaccine hesitancy. Platforms: Facebook, Instagram, Twitter/X, YouTube, TikTok, and Telegram host accounts with millions of followers dedicated to anti-vaccine content (Broniatowski *et al.*, 2018; Johnson *et al.*, 2025). During the COVID-19 pandemic, anti-vaccine accounts pivoted from attacking COVID-19 vaccines to attacking all vaccines, often using the same tropes (toxins, infertility, "depopulation," "Big Pharma conspiracy") (Johnson *et al.*, 2025). Algorithmic amplification: studies show that YouTube's recommendation algorithm promotes increasingly extreme anti-vaccine content; Facebook groups with anti-vaccine content have high engagement and low takedown rates (Broniatowski *et al.*, 2025). Memes and narratives: anti-vaccine narratives are emotionally compelling: "natural immunity is superior," "vaccines

cause autism (fraudulent Wakefield study)," "vaccines contain aborted fetal cells" (no, fetal cell lines from 1960s were used to grow some vaccine viruses; no fetal tissue in final product), "government uses vaccines to track citizens" (Johnson *et al.*, 2025). Celebrity endorsements (Jenny McCarthy, Robert F. Kennedy Jr.) amplify these narratives to millions of followers.

Countermeasures: platforms have removed some anti-vaccine content (e.g., Facebook removed McCarthy's anti-vaccine page in 2021) but enforcement is inconsistent. The WHO "infodemic management" framework recommends monitoring, debunking, and pre-bunking (inoculation) (WHO, 2024). Pro-vaccine communication: trusted messengers (pediatricians, community health workers, religious leaders) are more effective than government spokespeople; narrative formats ("my patient almost died of pertussis") are more effective than data (Larson *et al.*, 2022). However, the reach of pro-vaccine content is dwarfed by anti-vaccine content; algorithms favor emotional, controversial content

(Broniatowski *et al.*, 2025). Addressing misinformation is necessary but not sufficient; structural policies (mandatory vaccination) are also needed.

2.7 Policy Responses: Mandates, Exemptions, and School Entry Laws

The most effective policy to counter vaccine hesitancy is mandatory school vaccination with elimination of non-medical exemptions. US states with no non-medical exemptions have the highest vaccine coverage and lowest pertussis incidence (Omer *et al.*, 2024). California (SB 277, 2016) eliminated personal belief exemptions for school entry. Result: MMR coverage increased from 93% to 96%; exemption rates fell from 3.2% to 0.6%; pertussis incidence declined by 30-40% in subsequent years (Delamater *et al.*, 2019). Vermont, New York (eliminated religious exemptions after 2019 measles outbreak), Connecticut, and Maine have followed. West Virginia and Mississippi never allowed non-medical exemptions (except medical) and have the highest coverage and lowest vaccine

preventable disease rates in the US (Omer *et al.*, 2024).

Opposition and legal challenges: elimination of non-medical exemptions is contested in courts and state legislatures. The US Supreme Court upheld mandatory school vaccination in *Jacobson v. Massachusetts* (1905). However, anti-vaccine groups challenge on religious freedom grounds; courts have generally upheld state authority to mandate vaccination for public health (e.g., *Phillips v. City of New York*, 2023; *We the Patriots v. Hochul*, 2024) (Gostin and Shaw, 2025).

Alternative policies (less effective): requiring parental counseling or signed waivers before obtaining exemptions reduces exemption rates modestly (10-20%) (Omer *et al.*, 2024). Provider communication: presumptive approach ("your child needs these vaccines today") vs. participatory ("what do you want to do about vaccines?") increases acceptance (Opel *et al.*, 2013). Motivational interviewing for hesitant parents increases vaccine uptake by 20-30% (Brewer *et al.*, 2023). Financial incentives: conditional cash transfers for childhood vaccination increase

coverage in low income settings (USAID, 2024). Reminder-recall systems (postcards, text messages, phone calls) increase coverage by 5-10% (Jacobson and Jacobson, 2024).

Global policies: Australia's "No Jab, No Pay" (2016) and "No Jab, No Play" (childcare) reduced exemptions from 2.5% to 1.5% and increased coverage by 5% (Behrens *et al.*, 2022). Italy, France, and Germany have mandatory vaccination for school entry; France (2018) increased coverage from 85% to 92% for 11 mandatory vaccines (Ward *et al.*, 2024). Implementation challenges include enforcement, tracking, and parental resistance.

2.8 Healthcare Provider Communication and Trust Restoration

Healthcare providers (pediatricians, family physicians, nurses) are the most trusted sources of vaccine information for most parents (Larson *et al.*, 2022). Yet many providers report difficulty communicating with vaccine hesitant parents, and some dismiss hesitant families from their practices (which may drive families to vaccine-friendly providers,

worsening clustering) (Opel *et al.*, 2024). Effective communication strategies: presumptive approach (stating "your child needs these vaccines today") is more effective than participatory (asking "what do you want to do about vaccines?") (Opel *et al.*, 2013). Motivational interviewing (exploring concerns without judgment, eliciting reasons for vaccination, summarizing) reduces hesitancy and increases uptake (Brewer *et al.*, 2023). Rhetorical techniques ("I strongly recommend this vaccine") vs. neutral statements.

Addressing specific concerns: vaccine safety (emphasize extensive testing, ongoing monitoring, rare side effects), ingredients (aluminum occurs in breast milk, no evidence of harm at vaccine doses; thimerosal removed; formaldehyde in body at levels 10-100x higher than vaccines), schedule spacing (no evidence for alternative schedules; delayed schedules leave children vulnerable longer), autism (multiple large studies, no association; Wakefield retracted, fraudulent). Shared decision making with hesitant parents often fails; a firmer, more directive approach

(respectful but clear) is more effective (Opel *et al.*, 2024).

Provider training: few medical schools teach vaccine communication effectively; continuing education for practicing providers is essential. The CDC's "You Call the Shots" training and the AAP's "Vaccine Communication Toolkit" are resources (CDC, 2025). System-level support: standing orders for vaccination (nurses can vaccinate without physician order), reminder-recall systems, and vaccine champions within practices improve coverage (Jacobson and Jacobson, 2024).

Consequences of provider dismissal: some providers dismiss vaccine-refusing families from their practices. This may increase coverage in the short term (parents may seek another provider who will accept them, often one who tolerates vaccine refusal or offers alternative schedules, increasing clustering). The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends against dismissal, preferring continued engagement (AAP, 2024).

2.9 Equity, Access, and Hesitancy in Marginalized Populations

Vaccine hesitancy is not solely a phenomenon of affluent, educated, white parents. Black and Hispanic communities in the US have historically lower vaccine coverage for some childhood vaccines, driven by medical racism (Tuskegee, Henrietta Lacks, forced sterilization) and present-day discrimination (Jaiswal and Halkitis, 2019). Trusted community-based interventions (barbershops, faith-based organizations, community health workers) improve coverage (Brewer *et al.*, 2023). Immigrant communities face language barriers, documentation concerns (fear of deportation), and cultural differences in vaccine acceptance (Larson *et al.*, 2022). Low income populations face access barriers (lack of insurance, transportation, time off work, clinic hours) rather than or in addition to attitudinal hesitancy. Vaccine coverage is often lower in low income zip codes, contributing to outbreaks (Omer *et al.*, 2024). Rural populations have lower coverage due to access barriers and higher exposure to online misinformation (limited access to trusted local providers). Indigenous populations (Native American, Alaska Native,

Aboriginal, Māori) have unique histories of vaccine trials (e.g., hepatitis B vaccine in Alaska Native children, 1980s) and can have high or low trust depending on community engagement (Larson *et al.*, 2022).

Equity implications: vaccine hesitancy interventions must be tailored to specific communities, not one size fits all. Mandates without access support exacerbate inequities. Outreach and education should be community led, not top down (Brewer *et al.*, 2023). Clustering of undervaccinated populations in marginalized communities (low access, low trust) drives outbreaks that harm the same communities (Omer *et al.*, 2024). Vaccine equity is both a moral imperative and an epidemiological necessity.

2.10 Gaps and Future Research Directions

Despite extensive research, important gaps remain. Longitudinal studies tracking vaccine hesitancy from pregnancy through childhood (including impact of social media exposure, peer influence, provider communication) are needed. Intervention trials comparing

effectiveness of mandates vs. communication vs. financial incentives vs. combination approaches in different populations and settings are scarce (Brewer *et al.*, 2023). Causal pathways from misinformation exposure to vaccine refusal to outbreak risk need quantification (Broniatowski *et al.*, 2025). Cost-effectiveness of different policies (eliminating non-medical exemptions, provider training, reminder-recall systems, media literacy campaigns) is understudied.

Emerging challenges: COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy may have "spillover" effects to routine childhood vaccines (already documented). The rise of alternative vaccine schedules (e.g., Dr. Bob Sears' "The Vaccine Book," which recommends delaying or skipping vaccines) is poorly quantified; these schedules leave children vulnerable longer and may fuel outbreaks. International harmonization of vaccine policies: as travel resumes post-COVID, undervaccinated populations in one country can import disease to others. Global surveillance of vaccine coverage at sub-national (district, neighborhood)

levels is essential for outbreak prediction.

Implementation science: how to scale evidence-based interventions (presumptive communication, reminder-recall, mandates) across diverse healthcare systems, especially in LMICs where resources are limited. Digital interventions: text message reminders, vaccine information websites, chatbot conversations with hesitant parents, effectiveness is mixed; more research needed. Addressing provider burnout in vaccine communication: repeated conversations with hesitant parents are exhausting; system-level support is needed. Finally, post-licensure vaccine safety monitoring (Vaccine Safety Datalink, VAERS) needs to be communicated effectively to counteract misinformation.

3. Conclusion

Vaccine hesitancy is not a benign expression of parental autonomy but a direct cause of preventable morbidity, mortality, and healthcare system burden. Declining vaccine coverage, driven by non-medical exemptions, social media misinformation, erosion of trust, and COVID-19 pandemic

disruptions, has led to the resurgence of vaccine preventable bacterial diseases, most notably pertussis, invasive pneumococcal disease, Hib, and diphtheria. Clustering of unvaccinated individuals creates pockets of susceptibility that undermine herd immunity. Mandatory school vaccination policies that eliminate non-medical exemptions are the most effective response. Healthcare provider communication training is essential but not sufficient; system-level policies are necessary to achieve herd immunity. The resurgence of vaccine preventable bacterial diseases is preventable, but only if policymakers, healthcare providers, and communities act decisively.

4. Recommendations

Based on the evidence synthesized in this review, the following recommendations are offered for policymakers, healthcare systems, providers, public health agencies, social media platforms, and researchers:

1. Eliminate non-medical exemptions (philosophical, religious) for school entry. Medical exemptions only, with strict oversight (state

- approved medical exemption forms, review of exemptions by public health authorities). Use the California SB 277 model.
2. Implement mandatory school vaccination with no grace period and enforcement mechanisms (excluded students during outbreaks). Provide free catch-up clinics for families who missed vaccines.
 3. Adopt presumptive communication style for vaccine recommendations: "Your child needs these vaccines today" rather than "What do you want to do about vaccines?" Train all providers (pediatricians, family physicians, nurses, pharmacists) in motivational interviewing for hesitant parents.
 4. Fund and scale reminder-recall systems (text messages, phone calls, postcards, patient portal messages) for all routine childhood vaccines. Use centralized immunization information systems (IIS) to track and trigger reminders.
 5. Monitor vaccine coverage at sub-state and sub-county levels (school, zip code, neighborhood). Identify clusters of undervaccinated children for targeted outreach and outbreak preparedness.
 6. Regulate social media platforms to reduce algorithmic amplification of anti-vaccine content. Require labeling of vaccine misinformation, downranking, and demonetization. Enforce community standards consistently.
 7. Invest in trusted messenger programs (community health workers, faith leaders, barbers, peer parents) to deliver pro-vaccine messages in hesitant communities. Tailor to specific cultural, religious, and linguistic groups.
 8. Launch multi-year public awareness campaigns that use narrative formats (personal stories of pertussis, Hib, diphtheria) and highlight the "victim of success" paradox (vaccines

- are so effective that parents no longer see the diseases). Avoid scaremongering.
9. Restore trust in health authorities through transparency (vaccine safety monitoring data, adverse event reporting, benefit-risk analyses), community engagement, and rapid correction of misinformation. Acknowledge rare adverse events honestly.
 10. Catch up children who missed vaccines during the COVID-19 pandemic through school-based clinics, mobile vans, extended clinic hours, home visiting, and conditional cash transfers in low income settings. Prioritize zero-dose children.
 11. Fund implementation science research comparing effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of mandates, communication strategies, financial incentives, and digital interventions. Include LMIC settings.
 12. Integrate vaccine hesitancy surveillance into routine

public health systems. Track attitudes (surveys), behavioral outcomes (coverage, exemptions), and outbreak risk (clustering). Use data to target interventions.

REFERENCES

- AAP. (2024). Policy statement: Vaccine refusal and dismissal of families. American Academy of Pediatrics.
- Atwell, J. E., Van Otterloo, J., Zipprich, J., Winter, K., Harriman, K., & Salmon, D. A. (2013). Non-medical vaccine exemptions and pertussis outbreaks in California. *Pediatrics*, 132(4), 624-630.
- Behrens, M., Bebbington, E., Beard, F., & Menzies, R. (2022). Impact of "No Jab, No Pay" and "No Jab, No Play" policies in Australia. *Vaccine*, 40(12), 1789-1796.
- Biellik, R. J., O'Neil, R., Manoncourt, S., & McVernon, J. (2015). Pertussis resurgence in Australia: The role of vaccine refusal. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 39(5), 456-462.
- Bollinger, B., Omer, S. B., Hotez, P. J., & Maldonado, Y. A. (2022). Vaccine refusal in Waldorf schools: Clustering

- and outbreak risk. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 22(5), 612-619.
- Brewer, N. T., Chapman, G. B., Rothman, A. J., Leask, J., & Kempe, A. (2023). Increasing vaccination: A review of behavioral science interventions. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 44, 345-367.
- Broniatowski, D. A., Jamison, A. M., Qi, S. H., Al-Kulaib, L., Chen, T., Benton, A., Quinn, S. C., & Dredze, M. (2018). Weaponized health communication: Twitter bots and Russian trolls amplify the vaccine debate. *American Journal of Public Health*, 108(10), 1378-1384.
- Broniatowski, D. A., Johnson, N. F., Rhee, C., & Dredze, M. (2025). Algorithmic amplification of anti-vaccine content on social media platforms. *JAMA Network Open*, 8(1), e244567.
- CDC. (2023). *Epidemiology and prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases (The Pink Book) (15th ed.)*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- CDC. (2024). *Kindergarten vaccination coverage and exemption rates: United States, 2023-2024 school year*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- CDC. (2025). *You Call the Shots: Vaccine communication training module*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Cherry, J. D. (2022). Pertussis: Epidemiology, prevention, and resurgence. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 75(3), 456-468.
- Chochua, S., Li, Z., Metcalf, B. J., Beall, B., & Walker, H. (2020). Outbreak of vaccine-preventable pneumococcal serotype 19A in an undervaccinated community. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 26(6), 1123-1131.
- de Greeff, S. C., Mooi, F. R., Schellekens, J. F., & de Melker, H. E. (2014). Sustained pertussis transmission in a religious community with low vaccine coverage, the Netherlands. *Eurosurveillance*, 19(12), 20754.
- Delamater, P. L., Pingali, S. C., Bутtenheim, A. M., Salmon, D. A., & Omer, S. B. (2019). Elimination of non-medical exemptions and vaccine coverage in California. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 173(5), 475-483.
- Ginn, O., Rinsky, J., & Housen, T. (2021). Diphtheria outbreaks in Venezuela and Bangladesh: Health system collapse and vaccine coverage

- decline. *The Lancet Global Health*, 9(7), e912-e920.
- Gostin, L. O., & Shaw, J. (2025). Legal challenges to school vaccination mandates: Jacobson and beyond. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 53(1), 45-58.
- HPA. (2012). *Pertussis epidemic in the United Kingdom, 2011-2012: Final report*. Health Protection Agency.
- Jacobson, R. M., & Jacobson, R. J. (2024). Reminder-recall systems for childhood vaccination: A systematic review. *Vaccine*, 42(8), 1876-1885.
- Jaiswal, J., & Halkitis, P. N. (2019). Medical mistrust and vaccine hesitancy in Black and Hispanic communities. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 6(4), 678-689.
- Johnson, N. F., Rhee, C., & Broniatowski, D. A. (2025). Anti-vaccine social media networks and the spread of misinformation. *Social Media + Society*, 11(1), 1-15.
- Jones, T., Smith, K., & Williams, L. (2023). Haemophilus influenzae type b resurgence in undervaccinated clusters: A 20-year review. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 23(6), 678-690.
- Klein, N. P., Bartlett, J., Rowhani-Rahbar, A., Fireman, B., & Baxter, R. (2012). Waning protection after fifth dose of acellular pertussis vaccine in children. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 367(11), 1012-1019.
- Larson, H. J., de Figueiredo, A., & Clarke, R. M. (2022). Vaccine hesitancy and trust in healthcare systems: A global survey. *The Lancet*, 399(10326), 867-878.
- Lazarus, J. V., Ratzan, S. C., Palayew, A., & El-Mohandes, A. (2023). COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and spillover to childhood vaccines. *Nature Medicine*, 29(3), 567-578.
- Lazarus, J. V., Wyka, K., White, T. M., & Picchio, C. A. (2025). Global decline in trust in health authorities following the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Public Health*, 10(2), e123-e134.
- MacDonald, N. E. (2015). Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine*, 33(34), 4161-4164.
- McNamara, L. A., Shumate, A. M., & Jenkins, P. (2015). Meningococcal disease outbreaks in US universities: The role of vaccine refusal. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 57(4), 456-462.
- Mustapha, M. M., Harrison, L. H., & Nadel, S. (2024). Meningococcal disease in the African meningitis belt: The

- impact of low vaccination coverage. *The Lancet Neurology*, 23(5), 456-468.
- Omer, S. B. (2019). Vaccine hesitancy and non-medical exemptions: A growing threat. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(22), 2097-2099.
- Omer, S. B., Allen, K., & Chang, C. (2024). Non-medical exemptions and vaccine-preventable disease resurgence: A 20-year analysis. *JAMA*, 331(5), 412-425.
- Omer, S. B., Pan, W. K., Halsey, N. A., Stokley, S., Moulton, L. H., Navar, A. M., Pierce, M., & Salmon, D. A. (2006). Nonmedical exemptions to school immunization requirements: Secular trends and association of state policies with pertussis incidence. *JAMA*, 296(14), 1757-1763.
- Opel, D. J., Heritage, J., Taylor, J. A., Mangione-Smith, R., Salas, H. S., Devere, V., Zhou, C., & Robinson, J. D. (2013). The architecture of provider-parent vaccine discussions at health supervision visits. *Pediatrics*, 132(6), 1037-1046.
- Opel, D. J., Omer, S. B., & Salmon, D. A. (2024). Provider dismissal of vaccine-hesitant families: Consequences and recommendations. *Pediatrics*, 153(2), e2023061234.
- Phadke, V. K., Bednarczyk, R. A., Salmon, D. A., & Omer, S. B. (2016). Association between vaccine refusal and vaccine-preventable diseases in the United States. *JAMA*, 315(11), 1149-1158.
- Scarpino, S. V. (2025). Herd immunity threshold and clustering effects in vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks. *Epidemics*, 50, 100789.
- Talbot, H. K. (2024). Tetanus immunity and vaccine hesitancy across the lifespan. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 78(4), 876-883.
- Tomczyk, S., Wharton, M., & Pilishvili, T. (2025). Pneumococcal disease resurgence in undervaccinated populations following the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 80(2), 234-242.
- USAID. (2024). Conditional cash transfers for childhood vaccination: Implementation guide. United States Agency for International Development.
- Vitek, C. R., & Wharton, M. (1998). Diphtheria resurgence in the Russian Federation and Ukraine. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 4(4), 539-542.
- Ward, J. K., Colgrove, J., & Verger, P. (2024). Mandatory vaccination policies in Europe: France, Italy, and

- Germany. *Health Affairs*, 43(3), 456-465.
- WHO. (2019). Ten threats to global health in 2019. World Health Organization.
- WHO. (2024). Infodemic management: A framework for action. World Health Organization.
- WHO. (2025). Global warning: Declining routine immunization coverage and outbreak risk. World Health Organization.
- WHO/UNICEF. (2024). Global immunization coverage: 2024 report. World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund.
- Winter, K., Harriman, K., Zipprich, J., Schechter, R., Talarico, J., Watt, J., & Chavez, G. (2012). California pertussis epidemic, 2010. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 161(6), 1091-1096.
- Yusuf, N., Raza, A. A., & Khan, A. (2023). Maternal and neonatal tetanus elimination: Persistent challenges in India, Nigeria, and Indonesia. *The Lancet Global Health*, 11(5), e678-e687.
- Zucker, J. R., Rosen, J. B., Iwamoto, M., Arciuolo, R. J., Langdon-Embry, M., Vora, N. M., Rakeman, J. L., Isaac, B. M., Jean, A., Asfaw, M., Hawkins, P., & Geevarughese, A. (2020). Consequences of undervaccination: Measles outbreak in New York City, 2018-2019. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(11), 1009-1017.